

GMHB EXHIBIT 236

2024-05-15 Planning Commission Transcript

0:04

okay live okay good evening and welcome to the May 15 2024 special hybrid

0:12

Planning Commission meeting I'm Mike Murphy the chair tonight's hybrid

0:18

Planning Commission meeting is being brought to you courtesy of Zoom welcome to all and thank you for joining us

0:25

tonight Commissioners please have your mics turned on for the Roll Call

0:30

please call the role uh commissioner accuse I here

0:39

pres commissioner bazo here uh commissioner

0:49

Bozman commissioner bman I I said present okay can you hear

0:56

me yeah okay you hear you now uh commissioner

1:02

gills absent commissioner RIS here uh Vice chair ragab present and

1:11

chair Murphy here okay we have a

1:17

quorum for this meeting we continue to utilize the zoom platform I will do my

1:23

best to recognize you in the order in which your hands are raised after being recognized you may then ask your

1:29

question question or make your comment our first item business is public

1:34

appearances this is the opportunity for anyone to speak to the commission about issues of concern is there anyone who

1:42

has signed up for appearances that wishes to address the commission there is no one signed up for

1:49

appearances okay we now move on to special business

1:55

the first item of special business is to review and consider approving the minutes of the May 8 special meeting do

2:03

I have a motion so Mo move well we have I'll second

2:11

it okay been moved and seconded uh can we uh can you Zach can

2:18

or Adam can you please uh call the role uh commissioner

2:25

accuse I commissioner bazo I I commissioner

2:31

Bozman I uh commissioner Riz hi uh Vice chair

2:39

reab hi and chair Murphy hi okay the

2:45

minutes are approved the second item of business is the Planning Commission agenda Bill PCB

2:52

2411 which are their revised March 27 minutes um Adam I understand you're

2:59

going to explain that to us yes so this is pretty straightforward

3:05

um this is just a revision of the March 27th minutes to reflect two times where

3:11

the Planning Commission reached a consensus to make amendments to the housing element um we sometimes we track

3:20

uh where consensus is reached on those different elements um for this one uh we

3:25

didn't in the original draft but we've been asked to um include that in an

3:31

updated um just so that we can track where that change was made in the

3:37

record is there any discussion or questions about

3:42

that okay do I have a motion to approve the revised minutes so

3:51

moved second been moved and seconded please conduct a roll call vote

3:59

all right commissioner accuse Carolyn yeah I just want to

4:07

really thank you Mike for catching this I mean it's just kind of remarkable that you who who caught this I don't know

4:16

oh do we know it was a series of comments uh public comments were asking

4:23

about this and so we just uh to to make the um record more clear we wanted to

4:30

update the minutes yeah well done thanks very

4:40

much okay back to the roll call yeah commissioner

4:46

accuse I commissioner bazo I

4:51

commissioner Bozman I commissioner RIS hi uh Vice chair ragab hi

5:00

and chair Murphy hi right motion passes

5:05

60 okay third and final item of special business is the Planning Commission

5:11

agenda Bill PCB 2410 open space Zone second review

5:18

welcome city manager Jesse Bon or Alison are you the presenter on

5:24

that or Jesse here Jesse's here to take any questions that come up as we go

5:30

through things um but I'm going to take us through the Matrix of the comments and questions we received and kind of do

5:36

a brief overview of those and the proposed amendments that we need to

5:42

review okay so one sec I'm going to share my

5:53

screen okay um so I wanted to just run through the Matrix really quick and

5:58

speak to each of the um the first item was a really minor Amendment that's been made in the draft

6:04

just adding the dash um the second item is also fairly minor um I did suggest a

6:13

slight change to the amendment that was proposed um my intent there was to call

6:19

out the titles of those code sections um I felt like that might be a

6:27

little more direct way to speak to what I thought was the intent of this comment

6:32

to specify that you know one piece of the code relates

6:38

to Wireless Communications facilities the larger macros and the other section

6:44

of code um relates to small Wireless facilities or what we sometimes called small

6:50

Sals um so I'll leave it to Adam or to the commissioner reab to speak to

6:56

whether this meets the intent but I was hoping that this would be maybe a cleaner way of clarifying

7:05

that Adam um I mean I I like seeing yeah the that's small it'd be nice if we could

7:13

see macro maybe in parentheses after Wireless Communications but the logic

7:19

behind um the staff response makes sense to go with the actual title of those

7:25

sections of code I think in 040 if I remember it there's like a distinction

7:30

there where it says that I'm looking at it right now that it refers to macro but

7:37

I mean of the terms like a wireless what's known as a macro and the

7:44

small Wireless facility because like before before diving into this with the first park zone I wasn't aware that

7:52

there was a distinction between small and macro so I thought we ought to keep

7:57

that clear in the code um but yeah I think from the staff perspective it is clear based on the definitions that are

8:04

provided there okay thanks thank you okay moving on um there's just oh

8:12

first number three this one had to do with the addresses and I know Carson Hornsby was able to work with our GIS

8:19

team to clarify those and make sure there's distinct addresses for each of those properties

8:26

um and then the next two comments had to do with the zoning map and the abbreviation

8:32

that was used for the public institution Zone Pi is the correct abbreviation and

8:38

that's been corrected throughout that map I can show that a little later um and then also there was a

8:45

request for clarification about what would be happening with the zoning of the Wildwood property so for now for

8:54

this interim between now and when the actual parks zone is adopted that property will be

9:01

staying in residential zoning but then when the park zone is brought forward we will be proposing changing that property

9:08

to park zoning so at that time the map will need to be amended

9:19

again um okay number five this was just asking to incorporate the changes on the

9:25

land use map you may recall the land use map had like call out boxes that were indicating which designations needed to

9:32

change we've provided now an updated version of the map with those changes implemented I'll show that once we

9:39

finish going through this Matrix um and then number six has to do

9:47

it's a question about how we're regulating ebikes and E Scooters and

9:52

whether that should be in the code or somewhere else we did clarify the response on this one Jesse Bon was able

9:58

to take a look at it this afternoon and wanted to provide a little more information Jesse do you want to speak to that now and just give a little bit

10:04

more context how's my audio sounds good okay

10:11

I'm getting that like you know signal of death your internet connection is unstable so um yeah apologies I didn't

10:20

get into the Matrix until after uh the staff had already sent it to you so I I slightly revised our response uh just

10:28

zooming out on the whole city our city code in its entirety does not regulate

10:34

yet uh E Scooters or E bikes and that's something that was flagged a couple

10:40

years ago it's it's sitting on a long list of items that that need to be addressed so I wanted to just make sure

10:47

you understood that um in terms of parks open space

10:53

Trails the type of bikes scooters um that are allowed we talked

11:00

about it with the Parks Commission and the open space trust and I I think the the short answer

11:08

is there's a lot more work to be done to determine how we're going to regulate E Scooters and ebikes and even taking it a

11:16

step further um revisiting where bikes are current regular bikes uh the human

11:22

powered kind where they're allowed um where equestrians are allowed so that

11:27

was that was left as an important followup item for us and I think those regulations again the city's going to

11:33

have to look at Citywide regulations uh there's a section of our city code that that lays out park rules

11:41

that's an appropriate place for these types of regulations or uh individual

11:46

Parks um and open space and trails can have their own rules so that's a long

11:52

way of saying we didn't feel it belonged in the The Zone um the circumstances at

11:57

each facility are slightly different and as a city we have a lot more work to do to address um these types of uses I

12:04

don't know it's something that we'll be looking we're we're um putting together our work plan our proposed work plan for

12:12

the next two years it'll go to the council this fall um the idea is we may

12:17

want to get the The Pedestrian and bike plan updated first that will certainly

12:24

inform how we approach all this but I'm not sure we can wait that long um so

12:30

it's a conversation I need to have with the city council anyway I I hope that gives some Conta gives some context for

12:36

all the moving Parts on that topic uh

12:42

Victor just a quick follow-up question to Jesse I caught one part of your your

12:47

comment and I wasn't sure if I caught the second part you said you're gonna come up you're G to be following up with

12:53

some park rules did you also say that we're going to also have rules for open space as

12:58

well a separate set of rules no sorry I probably wasn't as

13:04

clear as I could have been I probably didn't hear I probably that's okay um so

13:11

we can address uh let's just say we decide E Scooters and ebikes are not allowed if

13:18

that were the case and if it were a blanket decision it would it would live nicely in the city's Parks code we have

13:26

a section of city code that that lays out parks rules that's a very black and white approach

13:32

um that may not work in this case because E Scooters and ebikes may be allowed in some parks and not

13:39

others um could be allowed on a trail but not in an open space so the

13:44

alternative to that is individual park rules

13:50

um so just uh Victor I think my the point I was trying to make is there's a couple layers here I'm not sure where

13:56

these regulations are going to land yeah but Citywide we need to address them so

14:02

the the reason I'm asking the question is not so much on on bicycles but I know that uh for open space we have horses in

14:10

Pioneer Park and that's that's a historical thing that we've had for a long time I'm not arguing against that

14:17

but um I'm just wondering how that's going to be handled and whether that

14:22

would would that be incorporated into kind of a similar structure where we have open space rules as well and

14:29

yeah I I would I would say yes and there's a Nuance of course with Pioneer Park and angstrom open space um the open

14:38

space trust governs the rules on those properties not necessarily the city so

14:43

the trust makes those decisions uh the city still stewards all the other open space properties obviously we work

14:50

together uh you know we're still a team but it's just just for awareness the

14:56

trust may decide um uh to you know continue

15:01

equestrian use and the Parks Commission May advise the city council that the other open space properties should no

15:07

longer allow equestrian use um I'm not exactly sure how that is is going to

15:12

play out we have not picked In fairness this this was flagged uh during our discussion of this

15:18

Zone but we have not had a a discussion about any of this formally with the

15:25

Parks Commission or the trust um although I you know the trust is certainly talked about this over many

15:30

many years fair enough thanks

15:35

darn approximately when is the uh bike and ped um plan redo supposed to

15:44

happen I'm going off memory here I think we're supposed to start it in 2026 it's

15:50

in our transportation Improvement plan okay it's coming I think this this is we

15:57

haven't scoped it yet but it's intended to be a really comprehensive update I think it will take at least 18

16:06

months to get the plan done possibly 24 months I think that just given the

16:11

volume of work that needs to be done and what I anticipate will be a really

16:16

robust engagement process and if any of my staff are online and can double check the date the

16:23

date I just mentioned in the tip that would be great 26 27 I'm going off my M as well okay okay so while I I really

16:31

agree with the um priority that um excuse me that Angie placed here um I think

16:39

getting to it with the date that you have and it's really something that should be addressed in the context of

16:45

all the other bike and pedestrian issues uh that will be the best way to do it um

16:51

and given that I'm not seeing I go I'm in the Open Spaces a lot and I'm not

16:57

seeing um even bikes in there really hardly ever and never never ebikes so I

17:03

think we'll do okay to get to it in a couple of years

17:12

thanks any other comments Angie do you want to comment on this since you rais

17:19

it nope I just flagged it for discussion later not necessarily today okay

17:29

okay um I am going to see if I can up these

17:35

Maps wait I'm just going to tick through so just to show you what has

17:41

changed this is the land use map language designations and that was the

17:47

prior version we've updated that to implement all of those changes to the designation so these ones have now

17:53

turned bright green and that tract is going to be moved down there um

18:01

sh stuff okay this is the old version of the zoning map it was noted that the

18:08

incorrect abbreviation of P was on a couple of the properties that's now been updated so that they all have

18:16

Pi um so those are all pretty straightforward it's also going to pull

18:25

up draft of the development regulation is

18:32

so I did make that change we discussed earlier here and the abbreviation was

18:38

corrected down here um I don't know if anyone is interested in proposing any other amendments but that is the

18:44

conclusion of the updates that the staff have made at this point and we can open it up chair for

18:51

any further comments or questions Victor

18:58

so one thing that I noticed in the in the maps that were in the packet um I

19:04

saw I saw Wildwood became or was designated

19:09

Park um I saw Island Crest also designated as

19:15

Park and and those two were both called out as um hybrids or they'll be discussed in

19:23

the Parks discussion but I was a little bit but the other one that that we had

19:29

talked about last week was mercerdale and these were all hybrid properties that were kind of parks but also kind of

19:36

uh open space and I'm just and I was just wondering if you could just provide us on color on on how we're able to get

19:42

mercerdale designated or a portion of mercerdale designated as open space but

19:49

not the Highland Park in Wildwood uh good question uh mercerdale

19:55

Park and the north mercerdale Hillside are actually they're next to each other but two

20:01

distinct properties uh North mercerdale Hillside isn't is already classified as an open

20:07

space area uh what's interesting about mercerdale Park as you probably know a

20:13

little bit about that Park is uh that there's there's open space Wetland and Wetland buffers that extend into

20:20

meridale park so I think one of the questions we were left with is should

20:26

something differently be done with uh what is that the west side of um

20:33

mercerdale Park and I and I don't know yet um so so the hillside could easily

20:40

be classified to open space I think we've got to go back and look at meridale Park a little further uh

20:46

Wildwood is a chameleon uh we we need to talk about is

20:51

Wildwood an open space area and intended to be regulated as such or is it is it going to be regulated as a park it has

20:59

uh characteristics of both it's classified in our Park system as a park

21:04

uh not an open space area so we put that on the list to talk about further uh Island Crest Park

21:12

is similar issues uh it's Island Crest has an open space area uh or open space

21:20

and part of it but it's one giant parcel and again not enough time to really

21:27

evaluate if are we GNA you know subdivide that cleave off open space we

21:33

we don't really want Parcels with split zones that creates some problems for us so it just became like we need to we

21:41

need to look at these uh parks in this next phase when we have a little more

21:47

time and and time to be a little more thoughtful not as rushed and make a

21:52

recommendation on how best to manage these properties I think the other issue is these aren't the only parks that have

21:58

open space areas in them lucer Burbank does as well quite extensively but they

22:03

don't all live on the edge so it's it's not clean to you know to I keep using

22:09

this word to kind of cleave out the open space and Zone it as open space so we're gonna have to look at it and that will

22:15

be work that the Parks Commission will be doing at the end of this year and and I'm sure that work is going to go into

22:21

the following year yeah I I had taken that away from our our discussion last week I I was just a little bit

22:28

surprised that that mercerdale had had kind of made the cut I wasn't aware that

22:33

there were two separate Parcels so thanks for the clarification

22:38

yeah uh Kate yeah conversation for a future day

22:44

but Jesse I was kind of hoping that um just wanted to put note for the record that I'm hoping that we can talk about

22:52

when we're talking about that issue doing some expansion of Open Spaces in some of those Parks um just because we

22:59

have a tremendous amount of lawn which is not really a sustainable feature I

23:04

understand that we need it for recreation um but there's just there's just huge amounts of lawn on Mercer

23:10

Island that aren't used and it would be neat if we could actually create a little more of that open space um by

23:16

adding trees and I think the urban urban Forest plan calls for some of that but um just putting that in the hopper for

23:23

that future discussion that lives just to be candid

23:30

it probably lives outside of the scope of the park zone work and you know we'd really have to look at the pros plan to

23:37

see what advice it gives on that but your comments noted and I recall you

23:43

mentioned that in a previous meeting as

23:48

well okay any other questions or

23:55

comments Elon are you expecting us now to you have a motion and vote on on

24:01

this yeah if we're satisfied with the draft and the maps motion to that those are

24:10

included I'll make a motion to pass as amended to approve as amended

24:19

second motion been made and seconded uh is there any

24:26

discussion seeing none Let's uh call the role

24:32

Adam uh commissioner accuse hi commissioner bazo

24:39

I commissioner boatsman hi uh commissioner rishes hi uh Vice

24:46

chair ragab hi and chair Murphy hi

24:52

motion passes unanimously uh Allison Jesse thank you

24:57

very much and thank

25:03

you that was uh well done and uh nicely prepared and uh went very

25:11

smoothly Now we move on to other business uh Alison is there a deputy director

25:19

report yeah I was just going to give a quick overview of the upcoming

25:25

schedule let me just share this again really quick

25:33

all right so I was able to meet with chair Murphy and vice chair RAB earlier this week just to talk through the next

25:40

few weeks of meetings and wanted to give you a quick overview of that again um so

25:46

next week we'll be bringing a summary of the re the comments received for the

25:52

open house and the survey we'll also be bringing the results of the consistency review that our consult has been working

25:59

on um and there'll be some recommended additional amendments based on that

26:05

review we're not expecting me to take any action on either of those items next week it's merely just an informational

26:12

briefing and then you can use that information along with the feedback you get at the public hearing to make

26:19

amendments further on in the process

26:25

sure hi I'm looking at the schedule and um given we've had weekly meetings um

26:33

pretty consistently this year it seems like the May 22nd meeting is asynchronous information that could be

26:40

delivered via email um I have a pretty strong preference that we could read the

26:46

material as opposed to be presented it given the um number of back-to-back

26:51

meetings we have um is there any opportunity for that to happen

26:59

could be a possibility I think um particularly with the open house results

27:05

that will be a memo that you certainly it's it's that one in particular that I was I was asking about that just seems

27:12

like a read a report I may be interest in having some discussion about that it

27:18

wouldn't have to happen next week um it could happen after the public hearing on May

27:25

29th meeting or at a later meeting we can break it up based on the topics that will be discussed in the June meetings

27:32

um you know we will be proposing some additional amendments I believe and

27:38

there may be questions about why are those needed or why was worded this way so I think at some point the commission

27:43

would likely want to discuss that whether it needs to happen next week I think could be open for

27:52

consideration Carn um refresh my memory perhaps but my

27:57

recollection is that a public hearing I mean we may have some testimony there I

28:03

I guess some some appearances but other than that there

28:08

isn't anything else on the agenda other than just to present the draft and

28:15

anything else on the agenda at this time the meeting the main purpose of the meeting would be to hear public comment

28:22

um I have no idea how many people might be signing up to speak at that meeting

28:28

people have asked me what I think um I think similar the open house it's really hard to predict um there may be a

28:35

preference from some people to have that you know virtual online Zoom opportunity that they didn't have at the open house

28:42

um it could be five people it could be 50 people and if we give them each three minutes obviously that's a huge

28:48

difference in the amount of time it would take I I guess my my question is kind of related to Kate's because I as

28:55

you mentioned maybe these other items from May 22 could be tacked on you know to the 29th and and save a meeting there

29:03

but what whatever you think is right I'm I'm good thanks Alison um how significant is

29:11

the body of material expected on the consistency

29:16

review and is that something that's likely to require some discussion and

29:24

question answer well the the body of material is very large we reviewed a

29:29

draft of the initial like assessment the consultant

29:36

made a big Matrix um comparing all of the multicounty planning policies and

29:41

countywide planning policies with the policies we have in the draft elements

29:47

and kind of cross checked and mapped everything out um it's about 200 pages

29:52

so we we're also preparing a shorter more concise

29:58

Matrix that will just be the recommended amendments and the the reason why we

30:04

need to make an amendment the actual Amendment um I don't yet know how long that will be or how many exactly there

30:10

are but it's still you know multiple dozen items that we're going to need to

30:17

consider making amendments [Music] on uh Caroline

30:28

notwithstanding you know concerns about being able to make meetings I mean if if we don't have a quorum or something

30:34

you know we would need to do something but it kind of sounds like there's some substantial stuff to look at and and you

30:40

know that being the case uh I'd like to feel like we had enough time to really

30:46

look at it and ask questions discuss it so on and so forth so I kind of like that May 22nd meeting

30:54

myself uh Kate um for the exact same reason

30:59

Carolyn mentioned I would prefer to wait until the 29th I do not have time to read a 200 Page document in one week

31:06

considering we have not been provided it yet this is May 22nd is is that one week

31:12

away a week in a day um we're not anticipating that you would have read it

31:17

and reviewed it in detail part of the meeting I mean the purpose of the meeting is to walk you through it and brief you on the information I just

31:24

people have different learning styles and I'm just saying that it's it's not helpful for me to have my opportunity to

31:30

ask questions be before I've had the opportunity to actually read the material it just doesn't make sense to

31:36

me that we wouldn't read it before I don't know I maybe some people

31:41

learn better by hearing a presentation but for me being actually able to read it

31:47

before uh discussing it is um very helpful I mean that may not be popular

31:54

among this group though so I'd love to hear from other Commissioners I think car and I have both spoken twice on this

31:59

can can we hear from other folks um Alison let me ask you a question uh when would you expect to

32:08

have for us the version of this consistency

32:13

review um that we would be responsible for

32:20

digesting um we're aing to send it out by the end of this week so Friday

32:25

afternoon most likely

32:31

so it really just gives you the weekend plus a couple days to look at it in advance that

32:39

meeting um So based on the work that's been done to date do you have any ballpark as to

32:48

the volume of material that's actually going to come into our

32:53

hands well we will be giving you the 200 Page Matrix as a reference and then

32:59

we'll be giving you the more brief summary as well I'm going to ask Adam do you remember how many items there are

33:06

that we think will need amendments I know it's still being refined but do have a on

33:15

that um yeah so the there's

33:21

about I think there's somewhere between 30 and 40 gaps that were identified but

33:27

think that the the key thing is it I I the reason that we wanted to have a meeting next week is to go through that

33:36

give you the explanation of where this stuff came from so that when on the 29th

33:41

and the 5th you're seeing amendments being proposed by staff you'll know where this stuff came from ahead of time

33:49

that was the the purpose of that briefing I mean I think that on the 29th we're not necessarily asking for a

33:55

decision to be made on any of this stuff and so if um if the briefing wouldn't be

34:02

useful for you um that would be a a decision you could make at that point

34:07

but we're not um that's that's roughly where we're at is about 30ish gaps that

34:13

we'll be looking at and and how substantive or

34:19

technical are these gaps or amendments that we're

34:26

considering I mean there I don't think they're um it's not like we need to

34:31

rewrite the land use element um it's more like there's a couple of things that we just need to refine in some of

34:38

the policies particularly in the transportation element because this is all related to the um

34:45

psrc um the pound Regional council's um policies so it's it's more of a refining

34:52

than it is uh a big major over overhaul of anything and staff we're we're

34:58

planning to prepare some alternatives for you to consider um as we put

35:03

together the recommendation um in June so it seems to me the option here

35:10

is for that information to be given to us and everyone will have the

35:16

opportunity to review those before the 29th

35:22

and when the amendments are proposed we will have had background the alternative

35:29

you're proposing is that you give it to us this week and that we are able to

35:37

question ask questions and get a better understanding on the 22nd so that the

35:43

Amendments can be addressed more uh efficiently on the 29th seems to be

35:49

those are our Alternatives yes I think that that is

35:55

correct Carolyn um in your use of the word gaps Adam are

36:01

are you talking in about policies that we're just missing that we don't we

36:07

don't have policies to address certain things um that that's planner's talk for

36:13

sure so um there is we're kind of getting this is I think why the briefing

36:21

on the 22 was planned so that we could kind of get into this information but the the idea is there there are some of

36:27

these multicounty planning policies that have there's some gray area in how we

36:32

could interpret them and we found some places where we could strengthen our response to those issues so it you know

36:40

because the the regional plan is for um a 4Count area whereas our plan is for

36:47

just the city and so when we're making it more specific uh we're filling in some of that gray area and there's just

36:53

some of those places where we could make that a little stronger that's all thank you

37:01

Angie um Adam did I hear you correctly that you indicated that you

37:08

would not be expecting the Planning Commission to make a decision on any of the proposals on the 29th of May

37:16

either well it the decision on these will come after the public hearing so

37:22

the process I was planning on going over this on the 22nd too uh but the the

37:28

process is essentially we want to get this information to you on the 22nd so that you have you you know where it came

37:35

from and then either on the 29th or the 5th we would provide you with some

37:41

recommended amendments to make um to the draft to answer those gaps um so the

37:48

staff would draft something by then but no you won't be ask to make a decision on this until it's part of your overall

37:55

recommendation on the comprehensive plan update so I think um thank you for the

38:02

clarification I think just to answer Kate's question I feel fairly grounded in the process and don't need an

38:08

additional meeting to review that um I would prefer to spend my time actually

38:13

reviewing the content so that I'm prepared when you're presenting or when

38:19

the city's presenting here's how we took the content here's how we took what we're dealing with in terms of doing

38:25

these updates and here's what we're proposing and amendments on the 29th and then dealing

38:31

with the other business it just seems like for me as a commissioner I need

38:38

time to just digest the information and then I'll be prepared to handle all of that laundry on the 29th rather than

38:45

space it out um just in responding to commissioner accu's question

38:58

uh haven't heard from you Adam or Victor on this your thoughts on having a meeting

39:07

on the 22nd or not

39:12

Adam I mean I I don't have a strong opinion either way although hearing what

39:19

commissioner bazo said and the uncertainty that

39:24

Allison um mentioned about the public hearing i' you know if we have 50 people

39:30

show up I'd be I might be concerned about having to digest all of those public comments in addition to a report

39:38

from staff on in one night but you know if if we don't have many comments then

39:45

that's a nonissue so I don't know just Just Thoughts okay Victor any

39:53

thoughts sure I agree with u commissioner accuse and commissioner

39:59

bazo we just had a 30 minute meeting um I'm actually getting a little bit tired

40:06

of these micro meetings um I don't need a meeting to discuss the plan for a plan for a

40:15

plan okay I think um I'm inclined to dispense with the meeting on the 22nd

40:23

myself um so Kate uh further thoughts I was just going to say

40:32

um is it possible just to make it an optional meeting and and you ask us for

40:37

ansrsvp so if folks once they see the material really want to come like it's

40:42

an optional information session is that is that possible with this group why don't we get the packet out early maybe

40:49

we won't rush to get it out on Friday but we'll get it out Monday or Tuesday next week and then if people have

40:54

questions and would like to discuss it with staff we could set up individual or small group meetings um when that's

41:00

convenient for everyone um you know this that Happ next week

41:08

sometime if no one has we just want to discuss it on the 29th that's fine

41:14

too well let's see what the reaction is after you get the information

41:21

out okay I'm certainly not going to argue with canceling meeting I also like

41:27

having Wednesday evenings not not having meetings Okay um so just to conclude uh

41:36

what I've got here on the slide um so after the public hearing we're going to be looking to

41:42

split the elements into two groups this will help break up the information a

41:48

little bit and give you time to submit proposed amendments on each group so we

41:55

wanted to make sure there was as much time as possible to think about any amendments for the more complex elements

42:00

like land use housing and economic development so the deadline for that would be June 10th and then the deadline

42:06

for the other three elements will be June 3D so we'll ask you to submit and writing any proposals for further

42:13

amendments on those then at June 5th we'll review the first group we'll also

42:18

need to elect chair and vice chair review the bylaws and appoint a new member to the

42:25

housing work group at that meeting some housekeeping and then at the June 12th

42:30

meeting we'll review the proposed amendments for land use housing Economic Development if we're able to we can make

42:37

a recommendation to the city council on the full comprehensive plan at that meeting

42:43

if we need more time we have set aside June 26th as an optional fallback meeting if we're just not able to

42:50

resolve all the discussions on June 12th okay all right

42:57

that's all I've got okay thank you um so

43:03

I'm going to um take a different approach here on planned absences um if

43:09

you have a planned absence I would uh email the chair and vice chair with a

43:15

copy to Alison and uh so that these are not public

43:21

announcements uh and uh I think that's a better approach um so that that uh

43:27

people's privacy is maintained um so is there any other business uh that

43:35

we have any other comments or questions or anything else from Alison seeing none the next special

43:43

meeting is scheduled for Let's see we Nicks the 22nd so we're at the public

43:49

hearing on the 29th um there being no other business to

43:54

come before the Planning Commission the time is now now 6:45 p.m. and the

43:59

meeting is adjourned please stay seated uh and on screen until the Staff has

44:06

terminated the broadcast good evening and thank you for joining us and good job on a short meeting

English (auto-generated)

All

From your search

From Mercer Island Council

Meetings

Presentations

Politics News

Related

For you

Recently uploaded

Watched

Learning